

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/584,674		IKE ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	James A. Thompson		2625	

All Participants:

(1) James A. Thompson.

(2) Paul Teng (Reg. # 40,837).

Date of Interview: 17 September 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

19 and 20

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

*/James A Thompson/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2625*

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 5:15 PM

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Applicant's representative to request permission for an Examiner's Amendment, which Applicant's representative approved of. The amendment changes "A program" in claim 19 to read "A computer-readable medium storing a computer-executable program". The amendment also changes "The program" in claim 20 to read "The computer-readable medium".